

CALIFORNIA FOREST AND RANGE EXPERIMENT STATION
KEITH ARNOLD, DIRECTOR
FOREST SERVICE - U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

IN COOPERATION WITH

DIVISION OF FORESTRY
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MORE GOOD WATER

.. research at San Dimas Experimental Forest applying fundamentals to entire watersheds

By Walt Hopkins


Can southern California watersheds be managed to produce more water--without increasing flood and erosion hazards?

We have some of the answers. Basic studies in watershed management research were started at San Dimas Experimental Forest 25 years ago. We have learned how to measure rainfall accurately in chaparral-covered mountains. We know that chaparral plants differ in rates of water use. We are learning how soil moisture and surface runoff are influenced by different kinds of cover. And we can account for rainfall, moisture losses, streamflow, and ground water yields on entire watersheds. Through the years we have learned many of the fundamentals of how a watershed works.

Now this fundamental knowledge is being applied on whole watersheds. We are asking "How much more good water can be produced by research-guided management?" The answers are needed as soon as possible. In spite of all the water imported to southern California, 60 percent of the water used in the South Coastal Basin comes from local watersheds. Local water of high quality will always be in demand.

Rainfall Measurements

One of our first jobs was to get the rainfall story. When does it rain? How much? How hard? Early in the game we found that conventional, vertical raingages gave us inaccurate rainfall measurements. They were especially inaccurate during high intensity storms when accurate measurements were most needed. After testing raingages of many sizes and shapes we found that if the receiving rim of the gage is parallel to the mountain slope upon which the gage is placed, our rainfall measurements were much more accurate. We call these tilted or "stereo" raingages. At one time we had more than 300 raingages on the experimental forest. Today we are getting reliable measurements using only 17 properly designed gages.



The San Dimas Lysimeters

What happens to the rainfall once it reaches the watershed? How well do brush and other plants serve as watershed cover? How does runoff differ, say, under pine or grass cover? Does infiltration and percolation of water through the soil vary under different native chaparral species? Do some of these plants use more water? In 1937, to answer such questions, the now world-famous San Dimas lysimeters were constructed. Ceanothus, buckwheat, chamise, scrub oak, Coulter pine and grass are growing on these 10.5 x 21.8 x 6 feet concrete tanks, and one tank has been kept bare. Electrical instruments transmit and record water levels and thus help us measure rainfall, runoff, and seepage. Colman electric soil-moisture units make it possible to measure water movement into and through the soil and evaporative losses from the soil.

As you might expect, the bare lysimeter has produced the most runoff. Infiltration under the grass, shrubs, and pine has been more than twice that of the bare lysimeter. All available soil moisture under the shrubs and pine has been lost to evaporation and transpiration. Only under the grass has a water yield through seepage occurred.


Disposition of average annual rainfall, V

Vegetation	: Surface : runoff	: Infil- : tration	: Seepage	: Loss2/
	Inches depth			
Bare	13.0	7.7	0	7.1
Pine	5.6	15.1	0	15.1
Chamise	4.1	16.6	0	16.5
Grass	4.0	16.7	1.7	15.3
Buckwheat	3.5	17.2	0	17.2
Scrub Oak	3.3	17.4	0	17.5

1/ Average = 20.7 inches (October 1, 1952-September 30, 1956); range, from 16.01 to 25.39 inches.

2/ Loss = Rainfall-(runoff + seepage increase or + decrease in soil moisture storage
during period). Includes evaporation from soil,
transpiration, and interception loss.

DIAGRAM OF A SINGLE LARGE LYSIMETER SURFACE AREA 5-MILACRES (10\(\frac{1}{2}\) X 21 FEET.)



Managing the Watershed

Now, we are embarking on studies that we have been anxious to tackle for years—to apply the basic knowledge we and others have developed, and place whole watersheds under intensive management. Our objective is to increase the yield of usable water.

Can we increase water yield by removing the thirsty riparian and associated woodland growth along stream channels? By replacing the brush with grass on slopes with deep soil? How much water can we save? When will the water yield be delivered? Just how will these measures affect floods and sedimentation?

During the winter of 1957-58, in cooperation with the California Division of Forestry, the Los Angeles County Fire Department, and the Angeles National Forest, we started removing the riparian-woodland growth in Monroe Canyon (an 875-acre watershed). In April 1958, chaparral will be deadened on side slopes with deep soils in Bell Watershed No. 2. The objective in each watershed is to increase

GAGING STATION OUT OF SIGHT

the water yield. Two comparable watersheds will be maintained in their natural condition as checks.

Research workers are concentrating their studies of rainfall, streamflow, soil moisture and vegetation in these managed and check watersheds.

Can southern California's watersheds be managed for more water on a practical basis? This step in the San Dimas research program will provide many of the answers.